

SIMPLE RINGS WITH ASSOCIATORS IN THE LEFT NUCLEUS

C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY ,D. PRABHAKARA REDDY

Abstract: In this paper, we show that a simple ring R of char. $\neq 2$ with associators in the left nucleus is associative.

Keywords: Nonassociative ring, simple ring, characteristic, associator and nucleus.

Introduction: In [2] Yen proved the result of Kleinfeld [1] for the simple ring case under the weaker hypothesis $(R,R,R) \subseteq N_l \cap N_m$. Yen [4] also proved that if R is a simple ring of char. $\neq 2$ with associators in the left nucleus, then the associators are also in the middle nucleus and hence R is associative. In this paper, we show that the associator is in the right nucleus if R is a simple ring with the associator is in the left nucleus. From Yen's result it follows that R is associative.

Preliminaries: Let R be a nonassociative ring. We shall denote the commutator and the associator by $(x,y) = xy-yx$ and $(x,y,z) = (xy)z-x(yz)$ for all x,y,z in R respectively. The nucleus N of a ring R is defined as $N = \{n \in R / (n,R,R) = (R,n,R) = (R,R,n) = 0\}$. The center C of R is defined as $C = \{c \in N / (c,R) = 0\}$. We know that a ring R is simple if $R^2 \neq 0$ and the only nonzero ideal of R is itself. Since R^2 is a non-zero ideal of R , we have $R^2 = R$. We know that a ring R is semiprime if the only ideal of R which squares to zero is the zero ideal.

Throughout this paper R represents a simple ring of char. $\neq 2$ with all associators are in the left nucleus.

Main Results: If R is a ring of char. $\neq 2$ with associators in the left nucleus, then

$$(R,R,R) \subseteq N_l \quad \dots(1)$$

We have the identity valid in any ring, the so called Teichmuller identity:

$$(wx,y,z) - (w,xy,z) + (w,x,yz) = w(x,y,z) + (w,x,y)z, \quad \dots(2)$$

for all w,x,y,z in R .

suppose that $n \in N_l$. Substituting $w = n$ in (2), we get

$$(nx,y,z) = n(x,y,z),$$

for all x,y,z in R and $n \in N_l$.

If N_l is a Lie ideal of R , then we have

$$(nx,y,z) = n(x,y,z) = (xn,y,z), \quad \dots(3)$$

for all x,y,z in R and $n \in N_l$.

Let I be the associator ideal of a nonassociative ring R . By (2), I can be characterized as all finite sums of associators and right (or left) multiples of associators. Hence, we obtain

$$I = (R,R,R) + (R,R,R)R.$$

$$= (R,R,R) + R(R,R,R). \quad \dots(4)$$

Now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1: If R is a simple ring of char. $\neq 2$ with associators in the left nucleus then R is associative.

Proof: Since R is simple, we have either $I = 0$, in which case R is associative, or $I = R$.

Assume that R is not associative. So $I = R$.

Using (4) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= R^2 \\ &= IR \\ &= \{(R,R,R) + (R,R,R)R\}R \\ &= (R,R,R)R + (R,R,R)R^2 \\ &= (R,R,R)R. \quad \dots(5) \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) and (1), we get

$$w(x,y,z) + (w,x,y)z \in N_l \quad \dots(6)$$

for all w,x,y,z in R .

By replacing n with $(p,q,(r,s,t))$ in (3), we get

$$(p,q,(r,s,t))(x,y,z) = ((p,q,(r,s,t))x,y,z),$$

where $p,q,r,s,t \in R$ and $(p,q,(r,s,t)) \in N_l$.

Now (2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (pq,(r,s,t),x) - (p,q(r,s,t),x) + (p,q,(r,s,t)x) \\ = p(q,(r,s,t),x) + (p,q,(r,s,t))x. \end{aligned}$$

By forming the associator with y,z and using (1), and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((p,q,(r,s,t))x,y,z) &= - (p(q,(r,s,t),x),y,z) \\ &= - ((q,(r,s,t),x)p,y,z) \\ &= (q((r,s,t),x,p),y,z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(p,q,(r,s,t))x \in N_l$.

i.e., $(R,R,(R,R,R))R \subseteq N_l \quad \dots(7)$

But $((p,q,(r,s,t))x,y,z) = 0$ implies

$$(p,q,(r,s,t))(x,y,z) = 0, \text{ because of (3).}$$

i.e., $(R,R(R,R,R))I = 0$. Since we are considering the case where $I = R$, this gives

$$(R,R(R,R,R))R = 0. \quad \dots(8)$$

Assuming that $x \in (R,R,(R,R,R))$ and $w,y,z,t \in R$.

Then by (8), (2) and (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (wx,y,z) + (w,x,yz) &= (wx,y,z) - (w,xy,z) + (w,x,yz) \\ &= w(x,y,z) + (w,x,y)z \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $(wx,y,z)t = - (w,x,yz)t = 0$. This implies

$$(R(R,R,(R,R,R)),R,R)R = 0. \quad \dots(9)$$

Then with $x \in (R,R,R)$ in (6) and applying (9), we get

$$R((R,R,R),R,R) + (R,(R,R,R),R)R \subseteq N_l$$

or $(R,(R,R,R),R)R \subseteq N_l$.

Therefore $((R,(R,R,R),R)R,R,R)R = 0. \quad \dots(10)$

Using (5), (1), (3) and (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (R,(R,R,R),R)R &= (R,(R,R,R),R) \cdot (R,R,R)R \\ &= (R,(R,R,R),R) (R,R,R) \cdot R \\ &= ((R,(R,R,R),R)R,R,R) \cdot R \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0. \quad \dots(11)$$

Using (7) and N_l is a Lie ideal of R , we get $R(R, R(R, R, R)) \subseteq N_l$. $\dots(12)$

For all $x \in (R, R, (R, R, R))$ and $w, y, z \in R$, using the previous computation and by (12), we obtain $(wx, y, z) = - (wx, y, z) = 0$.

Since $R^2 = R$, the above equation implies

$$(R, R, (R, R, R)) \subseteq N_m. \quad \dots(13)$$

Let $T = (R, R, (R, R, R))$.

Now we define V_n inductively by

$$V_0 = T, V_1 = RT \text{ and } V_{n+1} = RV_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Assume that $B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n. \quad \dots(14)$

By using (8), (13) and (8), we have

$$V_0 R = TR = 0,$$

$$V_1 R = RT \cdot R = R \cdot TR = 0,$$

and

$$V_2 R = R(RT) \cdot R$$

$$\subseteq ((R, R, T) + R^2 T) \cdot R$$

$$= (R, R, T)R + R^2 T \cdot R$$

$$= 0.$$

Suppose that $V_i R = 0, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $V_{m+1} R = 0$.

Then using these and (8), we get

$$V_{m+2} R = (RV_{m+1}) \cdot R = R(RV_m) \cdot R$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\subseteq ((R, R, V_m) + R^2 V_m) \cdot R \\ &= (R, R, V_m) \cdot R + R^2 V_m \cdot R \\ &= (R, R, V_m)R + V_{m+1}R \\ &= (R, R, V_m)R \\ &= (R, R, R \cdot V_{m-1})R \\ &= (R, R, R(RV_{m-2}))R \\ &\subseteq (R, R, (R, R, V_{m-2}) + R^2 V_{m-2})R \\ &= (R, R, (R, R, V_{m-2}))R + (R, R, V_{m-1})R \\ &= (R, R, V_{m-1})R. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we get

$$V_{m+2} R \subseteq (R, R, V_m)R \subseteq (R, R, V_{m-1})R \subseteq \dots \subseteq (R, R, V_2)R \subseteq (R, R, V_1)R = (R, R, RT)R.$$

By (2) and (11), we get

$$RT = R(R, R, (R, R, R)) \subseteq (R, R, R) + (R, (R, R, R), R)R = (R, R, R).$$

Thus, applying the above equation and (8), we have

$$V_{m+2} R \subseteq (R, R, RT)R \subseteq (R, R, (R, R, R))R = 0.$$

Hence by induction, we obtain $B \cdot R = 0. \quad \dots(15)$

By (15), B is just the ideal of R generated by

$$T = (R, R, (R, R, R)).$$

By the simplicity of R and (15), we get $B = 0$.

Thus $(R, R, (R, R, R)) = 0$. So $(R, R, R) \subseteq N_l \cap N_r$.

Hence, by Theorem of [3], R is associative. This contradiction proves the theorem. This completes the proof of the theorem.

References:

1. Kleinfeld, E., "A class of rings which are very neraly associative", Amer. Math. Monthly, 93 (1986), 720-722
2. Yen, C.T., "Rings with associators in the left and middle nucleus", Tamkang J.Math.23(1992), 363-369.
3. Yen, C.T., "Rings with associators in the nuclei", Chung Yuan J. 28 (2000), 7-9.
4. Yen, C.T., "Simple rings of characterisic not 2 with associators in the left nucleus are associative", Tamkang J. Math. 33, No.1(2002), 93-95.
5. Schafer, R.D., "An introduction to Non-associative Algebra", Pure and Appl. Math.Academic Press, New York, (1966).

Assistant Professor, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati – 517502.
Senior Assistant Professor, BVRIT HYDERABAD College of Engineering for Women,
Bachupally, Hyderabad - 500090.