AQUATIC MACROPHYTES OF KHAMMAM DISTRICT IN TELANGANA STATE

K.RAJYALAXMI, M.ARUNA, M.ARJUN, N.NAGASAMEERA

Abstract: The present study documents the diversity and distribution of freshwater macrophytes in four different lakes located in Kothagudem area of Khammam district in Telangana State. A survey of four different lakes in Kothagudem area revealed the presence of macrophytes, which were categorized as floating, submerged and emergent species. The lakes investigated included ooracheruvu (lake -1), Chathakondacheruvu (lake -2), Singhabupalemcheruvu (lake -3) and Chintalacheruvu (lake -4).

During the present study atleast 20 surveys at different time intervals during June, 2013 – May, 2014 was conducted from four lakes of Kothagudem town. The macrophytes identified were Angiosperms, Pteridophytes and macroscopic algae. Among the angiospermic plants dicotyledons were found to be more in number, when compared to monocotyledons.

Aquatic plants like Pistia stratiotes , Nymphea nouchali, Nelumbo nucifera, Ipomoea aquatica, Marsilea quadrifolia, Typha angustifolia , Alternanthera sessilis , Cymbopogon citratus, Coldenia procumbens, Trainthema portulacastrum and Heliotropium indicum showed wide range of distribution

Azolla pinnata , Pistia stratiodes. Salvinia natans and Nymphea pubescense were identified as floating hydrophytes. Hydrilla verticellata, Vallisnaria natans Chara sps were identified as submerged macrophytes. Bacopa monnieri , Cyperus rotundus ,Marsilea quadrifolia Typha angustifolia , Hygrophylla auriculata were identified as emergent forms.

From the analysis of the distribution pattern of the macrophytes, it appears that there is no well marked differentiation in colonies of floating, submerged and emergent species and as such all the communities were found in intermixed mats.

Key words: Diversity, Kothagudem, Khammam District, lakes, Macrophytes Telangana.

Introduction: Macrophytes constitute a very prominent characteristics of an aquatic ecosystem (Sharma et,al ,. 2007) They oxygenate water and are important for different activities of aquatic animals. They also dynamically guide the cycling of minerals and other organic constituents, there by influencing overall biomass production of water bodies and can serve as indicators for monitoring the degree of damage in the ecosystem. Aquatic macrophytes respond to the changes in water quality and have been used as bio-indicator of pollution (Tripathi and Shukla, 1991).

Studies related to aquatic and wetland flora were Globally studied earlier by Mirashi,1954; Sen and Chaterjee,1959; Vyas,1964; Mishra,1974; Baruah and Baruah,2000; Deshkar,2008; Chandra et,al, 2008 and Aruna and Srinivas, 2013. Floristic list of a particular area gives a reliable background information about the species diversity in a community as each plant species has its own specific ecological amplitude and the same indicates the ecological nature of the habitat. In the present study, an attempt has been made to investigate the distribution and diversity of macrophytes from the selected lakes of khammam district in Telangana State.

M:aterials and Methods:

Study site: Khammam district lies between 16° 45' and 18° 35' North latitude and between 79° 47' and 80° 47' East longitude. The district presents a rough

topography with dissected uplands and hills which sometimes exceeds 600 m. Temperature varies from 10 to 44° C.The average rainfall of the district is 1045 mm. The district is rich source of rivers, lakes, ponds and reservoirs. The lakes selected for the present investigation are located in Paloncha region of Kothagudem.

Data collection: Survey was carried out during June 2013- May, 2014. During the study period macrophytes were collected from different sites of selected lakes of Kothagudem area such as Ooracheruvu, Chathakondacheruvu, Singhabupalemcheruvu and Chintalacheruvu.The aquatic macrophytes were hand pulled, collected into large polythene covers and were brought to the laboratory. These specimens were washed, dipped in 2% mercuric chloride, dried and were pressed on herbarium sheets. Local boat was also used while collecting plants from deeper water. Herbarium of each species was prepared by following standard herbarium techniques. The aquatic plants were identified with the help of available literature of Subramanyam (1962), Jain and Rao (1976), Varma (1981), Cook (1996), Majid (2000), and Choudhary (2002).

Results and Discussion: In the present study the macrophytic species recorded were grouped under different categories, i.e. floating, submerged and emergent forms based on habitat status. It was

observed that dicotyledons were dominant when compared to monocotyledons. Algae and Pteridophytes were poor in distribution. Scientific name, common name, family name habitat status and presence or absence in four different lakes is shown in Table 1-3

Table -1 represents Floating hydrophytes. The identified floating forms belonged to the families Nymhaeaceae, Lemnaceae Salviniaceae and Pontederiaceae . *Azolla* and *Salvinia* , Pteridophytes were present in all the lakes investigated. Rooted floating leaved plant like *Azolla pinnata* and *Salvinia* natans were dominant in shallow water zones.

Table-2 indicates Submerged forms. *Vallisnaria* and *Hydrilla* species were dominant and showed luxuriant growth in almost all four lakes The abundant growth of these forms was due to availability of nutrients and light.

Table-3 depicts Emergent forms The emergent forms identified majorly belonged to families, Cyperaceae and Poaceae. Some of the emergents like *Eclipta alba* and *Phyla nodiflora* were found on dry uplands. Most of the sedges and grasses were emergent and their growth declined in deeper waters.

It was observed that the emergent aquatic forms were abundantly found when compared to other forms. Submerged macrophytes release oxygen which add to the dissolved oxygen of the water. Thus aquatic macrophytes act as indicators of water quality, reduce pollution by acting as nutrient pumps and provide suitable breeding and shelter for varied aquatic fauna. It is presumed that emergent macrophytes are the most productive means of aquatic ecosystem since they utilize the roots in sediments beneath water and their photosynthetic parts in air, Westlake; 1963.

List Of Macrophytic Species Recorded From Four Different Lakes:

TABLE: 1 FLOATING FORMS							
SCIENTIFIC	FAMILY	COMMON	LAKE -1	LAKE -2	LAKE -3	LAKE -	
NAME		NAME				4	
Nymphea pubescence willd.	Nymphaeaceae	Water lily	+	-	+	+	
Azolla pinnata R. Brown.	Salviniaceae	Water velvet	+	+	+	+	
Lemna minor Linn.	Lemnaceae	Duckweed	+	+	-	+	
Eichhornia crassipes (Martius)	Pontederiaceae	Water hyacinth	_	+	+	+	
Pistia stratoites Linn.	Araceae	Water lettuce	+	_	+	_	
Salvinia auriculata Linn.	Salviniaceae	Water fern	+	+	+	+	
Nymphaea stellata Willd.	Nymphaeaceae	Dwarf lily	+	-	+	_	
Euryale ferox Salisb	Nymphaeceae	Prickly water lily	+	+	+	+	
Nelumbo nucifera Gaertn. Fruct	Nelumbonaceae	Indian Lotus	_	+	+	_	
Spirodella polyrhiza Linn.	Lemnaceae	duckweed	_	+	_	-	

+ : Present _ : Absent

IMRF Journals 260

TABLE: 2 SUMBERGED FORMS						
SCIENTIFIC NAME	FAMILY	COMMON	LAKE	LAKE -	LAKE -	LAKE -
		NAME	-1	2	3	4
Ceratophyllum	Ceratophyllaceae	Horn wort	+	_	_	+
demersum Linn.						
Vallisnaria natans	Hydrocharitaceae	Eel grass	+	+	_	+
Linn.						
Potamogeton	Potamogetonaceae	Pond weed	+	_	_	+
pectinatus Mique.						
Hydrilla verticellata	Hydrocharitaceae	Hydrilla	+	+	+	+
Linn.						
Chara zelanica willd.	Charophyceae	Musk grass	+	+	+	+
Nitella terestris	Charophyceae	Stone wort	+	+	_	+
Agardh.						
Ceratophyllum	Ceratophyllaceae	Horn wort	+	+	+	_
muricatum Chamisso						
Nitella hyalina	Charophyceae	Stone wort	+	_	+	+
Agardh						
Otellia alsinoides	Hydrocharitaceae	Dwarf	+	+	_	_
Linn.		morning				
		glory				
Chara nitzii willd.	Charophyceae	Musk grass	+	_	+	_
Nechamandra	Hydrocharitaceae	Eel grass	_	_	+	+
alternifolia(roxberg ex						
Wight)						

+ : Present _ : Absent

TABLE: 3 EMERGENT FORMS							
SCIENTIFIC	FAMILY	COMMON	LAKE -1	LAKE -2	LAKE -3	LAKE -4	
NAME		NAME					
Hygrophilla schulli	Acanthaceae	Marsh	+	_	+	+	
(schumach).		barbel					
Cyperus rotundus	Cyperaceae	Flat sedge	+	+	+	+	
Linn.							
Typha angustifolia	Typhaceae	Bul rush	+	+	+	+	
Linn							
Polygonum	Polygonaceae	Knot weed	_	+	+	_	
glabrum Willd							
Marselia	Marseliaceae	Water	+	+	+	+	
quadrifolia Linn		clover					
Ipomea aquatica	Convolvulaceae	Water	+	+	+	+	
Forsk		spinach					
Alternanthera	Amaranthaceae	Dwarf	+	+	+	_	
sessilis L. R.Br		copper leaf					
Eclipta alba	Asteraceae	Bringhraj	+	+	+	+	
Hassk`							
Striga densiflora	Scrophulariaceae	Witchweed	+	_	+	+	
Benth							
Dentella repens	Rubiaceae	Creeping	+	+	_	+	
Linn		lickstoop					
Rumex dentatus	Polygonaceae	Toothed	+	_	+	+	
Linn		dock					
Phyla nodiflora	Verbenaceae	Turkey	+	_	+	+	
Linn		tangle					

ISBN 978-93-84124-26-7 261

Cyperus	Cyperaceae	Flat sedge	+	+	+	+
campressus Linn						
Commelina	Commelinaceae	Day flower	+	+	_	+
benghalensis Linn						
Panicum	Poaceae	European	+	+	+	+
miliaceum L inn		millet				
Echonochloa	Poaceae	Hippo grass	+	+	_	+
stagnina (Retz)						

+: Present ; -: Absent

Acknowledgment: We express our deep sense of gratitude to Prof. VIDYAVATI, Former Vice-Chancellor of Kakatiya University, Warangal, Telangana State for her valuable suggestions and constant encouragement.

References:

- 1. Barauh, P.P and Barauh, C.K. 2000. Study of the Hydrophytic flora of Kaziranga National Park, Assam, India. *Annals of Forestry*, 8 (2):170-178.
- 2. Chandra, R.J. Prusty B.A.K. Azeez, P.A.2008. Biomass and Productivity of Plant community in a rainfed monsoonal Wetland Ecosystem with specific emphasis on its temporal variability. In: International wetland Ecology, Conservation and restoration, 5: 1-21.
- 3. Choudary Manoj Kumar 2002 . Aquatic Macrophytes of Jajibabad (Bijnor) U.P., *J. Indian bot Soc.* 81 11-15
- 4. Cook Christopher D .K 1996 . Aquatic and wetland plants of India, Oxford University Press, Pp 385
- 5. Deshkar, S.L 2008 . Avifaunal Diversity and Ecology of Wetlands in Semi-arid zone of Central Gujarat with reference to the Conservation and Categorization. *Ph.D Thesis, M.S University*. Vadodora.
- 6. Dhote ,S. and Dikshit, S. 2007. Water quality improvement through Macrophytes .A case study. *Asian T. Env. Sci* : 21 (2):427-430.
- 7. Jain, S. K & Rao R. R 1976. Hand Book of Field and Herabarium Methods. Today & Tomorrow Printers and Publishers, New Delhi. Pp 33-38
- 8. Majid, F. Z 2000 . Aquatic Weeds : Utility and Development, Agrobios (India) , Jodhpur Pp 96
- 9. Mirashi, M.V. 1954. Studies on the Hydrophytes of Nagpur. *J. Indian Bot. Soc.* 33: 293-308.

- 10. Mishra, K.L .1974. Manual of Plant Ecology. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. New Delhi, *pp.491*.
- 11. Sen,D.N and Chaterjee, U.N,1959. Ecological Studies on Aquatic and Swampy Vegetation of Gorakpur. A survey . *Agra University Res.* (*Sci*), 8 : 17-27.
- 12. Sharma ,S .C, Purohit, S. C & Kantiya Jeetendra 2007. Aquatic macrophytes of Bikaner District (Rajastan). *J. Indian bot. Soc.*, 86 (3 & 4) 137-140
- 13. Singh, K.K and Tomar, R.P.S. 1982. The Aquatic and Marsh land Flora of Kheri District, U.P. *J. Bombay, Nat, Hist, SOC*, 79, 271-274.
- 14. Srinivas , M. and Aruna , M. 2013 . Diversity of Aquatic macrophytes in Erracheruvu of Medak District , Andhra Pradesh. *The Biosphere*. An International Biannual Journal of Life Sciences .5(2):131-133
- 15. Subrahmanyam,K. 1962. Aquatic Angiosperms. Botanical Monograph. 3. New Delhi: Council of Scientific and Industrial Research 190 p.
- 16. Tripathi, B .D & Shukla, S .C . 1991. Biological treatment of wasteswater by selected aquatic plants. Environ. Poll. 6: 69-78
- 17. Varma ,S.K . 1981. Flora of Bhagalpur (Dicotyledons) Today and Tomorrow Printers and Publishers , New Delhi. Pp 414
- 18. Vyas, L.N. 1964. A Study of the Hydrophytes and Marsh Plants of Alawar. J. Indian. Bot Soc. 43: 17-
- 19. Westlake, D.F. 1963. Comparisons of Plant Productivity. Bot. Rev: 38: 385-425.

Dr. M Aruna Associate Professor of Botany/ Hydrobiology & Algal Biotechnology Laboratory/ Telangana University/Dichpally/Nizamabad – 503322/Telangana / INDIA/aruna_maddela@yahoo.com drarunatu@gmail.com

K Rajyalaxmi Research Scholar of Botany/Hydrobiology & Algal Biotechnology Laboratory/ Telangana University/Dichpally/ Nizamabad – 503322

Telangana/INDIA/kondrathirajyalaxmi2005@gmail.com

M Arjun Research Scholar of Botany/Hydrobiology & Algal Biotechnology Laboratory/
Telangana University/Dichpally/ Nizamabad – 503322/Telangana/INDIA/arjunmallaram@gmail.com
N Nagasameera Research Scholar of Botany/Hydrobiology & Algal Biotechnology Laboratory/
Telangana University, Dichpally/Nizamabad – 503322./Telangana/INDIA/N.nagasameera@gmail.com

IMRF Journals 262