

ON $T_i^{I(T)\beta}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$)-SPACES IN INTUITIONISTIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Dr. P. Basker

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Jansons Institute of Technology, Coimbatore-641 659, Tamilnadu, India
mcpdbasker@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, I introduce spaces called a $T_i^{I(T)\beta}$ ($i = 0,1,2$)-Spaces in intuitionistic topological spaces. Also discuss some of their properties.

Keywords: $T_i^{I(T)\beta}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$)-Spaces.

1. Introduction: After Atanassov [2] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets" as a generalization of fuzzy sets, it becomes a popular topic of investigation in the fuzzy set community. Many mathematical advantages of intuitionistic fuzzy sets are discussed in [2, 3, 4]. Coker generalized topological structures in fuzzy topological spaces to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Later many researchers have studied topics related to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Coker [11] also introduced the concept of intuitionistic topological spaces with intuitionistic sets, and investigated basic properties of continuous functions and compactness. He and his colleague [12, 13, 14] also examined separation axioms in intuitionistic topological spaces.

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.4: [11, 13] An intuitionistic topology (IT for short) on a nonempty set X is a family T of IS 's in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $\phi_{\sim}, X_{\sim} \in T$,
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in T$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in T$,
- (iii) $\cup G_i \in T$ for any arbitrary family $\{G_i : i \in J\} \subseteq T$.

In this case the pair (X, T) is called an intuitionistic topological space (ITS for short) and any IS in T is known as an intuitionistic open set (IOS for short) in X .

Example 2.5: [13] Any topological space (X, T) is obviously an ITS in the form $T = \langle A', A \in T_0 \rangle$, whenever we identify a subset A in X with its counterpart $A' = \langle X, A, A^c \rangle$ as before.

Example 2.6: [11] Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and consider the family $T = \{\phi_{\sim}, X_{\sim}, G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4\}$ where $G_1 = \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{d\} \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle X, \{a, c, e\}, \{b, d\} \rangle$, $G_3 = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, d, e\} \rangle$ and $G_4 = \langle X, \{a, d\}, \{e\} \rangle$. Then (X, T) is an ITS on X .

Example 2.7: [11] Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and consider the family $T = \{\phi_{\sim}, X_{\sim}, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$, where $A_1 = \langle X, \{a, b, c\}, \{d\} \rangle$, $A_2 = \langle X, \{c, d\}, \{e\} \rangle$, $A_3 = \langle X, \{c\}, \{d, e\} \rangle$ and $A_4 = \langle X, \{a, b, c, d\}, \emptyset \rangle$. Then (X, T) is an ITS on X .

Definition 2.8: [11] The complement A of an $IOSA$ in an ITS (X, T) is called an intuitionistic closed set (ICS for short) in X .

Now we define closure and interior operations in ITS 's:

Definition 2.9: [11] Let (X, T) be an ITS and $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ be an IS in X . Then the interior and closure of A are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} int(A) &= \cup \{K : K \text{ is an IOS in } X \text{ and } K \subseteq A\}, \\ cl(A) &= \cap \{K : K \text{ is an ICS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}, \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we have used $I^{(T)}c(A)$ instead of $cl(A)$ and $I^{(T)}i(A)$ instead of $int(A)$.

It can be also shown that $I^{(T)}c(A)$ is an ICS and $I^{(T)}i(A)$ is an IOS in X , and A is an ICS in X iff $I^{(T)}c(A) = A$ and A is an IOS in X iff $I^{(T)}i(A) = A$.

Example 2.10: [11] Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and consider the family $T = \{\phi_{\sim}, X_{\sim}, G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4\}$ where $G_1 = \langle X, \{a, b, c\}, \{d\} \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle X, \{c, d\}, \{e\} \rangle$, $G_3 = \langle X, \{c\}, \{d, e\} \rangle$ and $G_4 = \langle X, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{\phi\} \rangle$. If $B = \langle X, \{a, c\}, \{d\} \rangle$, then we can write down $I^{(T)}i(B) = \langle X, \{c\}, \{d, e\} \rangle$ and $I^{(T)}c(B) = \langle X, X, \phi \rangle = X_{\sim}$.

Proposition 2.11: [11] For any ISA in (X, T) we have $I^{(T)}c(\bar{A}) = \overline{I^{(T)}i(A)}$ and $I^{(T)}i(\bar{A}) = \overline{I^{(T)}c(A)}$.

Proposition 2.12: [11] Let (X, T) be an ITS and A, B be IS's in X . Then the following properties hold:

- (a) $int(A) \subseteq A$; (b) $A \subseteq cl(A)$;
- (c) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow int(A) \subseteq int(B)$; (d) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow cl(A) \subseteq cl(B)$;
- (e) $int(int(A)) = int(A)$; (f) $cl(cl(A)) = cl(A)$;
- (g) $int(A \cap B) = int(A) \cap int(B)$; (h) $cl(A \cup B) = cl(A) \cup cl(B)$;
- (i) $int(X_{\sim}) = X_{\sim}$; (j) $cl(\phi_{\sim}) = \phi_{\sim}$.

Definition 2.13: [P. Basker et al.] [5] An intuitionistic set $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ in an $ITS(X, T)$ is said to be

(a) intuitionistic semi-preopen [briefly. $I^{(T)}SP$ -open (or) $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open] if there exists $B \in I^{(T)}PO(X)$ such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq I^{(T)}c(A)$.

(b) intuitionistic semi-preclosed [briefly. $I^{(T)}SP$ -closed (or) $I^{(T)}\beta$ -closed] if there exists an intuitionistic preclosed set B such that $I^{(T)}i(A) \subseteq A \subseteq B$.

The family of all $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open [resp. $I^{(T)}\beta$ -closed] sets of an $ITS(X, T)$ will be denoted by $I^{(T)}\beta O(X)$ [resp. $I^{(T)}\beta C(X)$].

Example 2.14: [P. Basker et al.] [5] Let $X = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and

$$G_1 = \langle X, \{a_1\}, \{a_2, a_3\} \rangle,$$

$$G_2 = \langle X, \{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_3\} \rangle,$$

$$G_3 = \langle X, \{a_1\}, \{a_2\} \rangle.$$

Then $T = \{\phi_{\sim}, X_{\sim}, G_1, G_2\}$ is an intuitionistic topology on X and $G_3 \in I^{(T)}PO(X)$. Let $A = \langle X, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_2\} \rangle$ be an IS in (X, T) . Then $G_3 \subseteq A \subseteq I^{(T)}c(G_3)$, and hence $A \in I^{(T)}\beta O(X)$.

Definition 2.15: [P. Basker et al.] [5] Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and A be a subset X . Then:

(a) $I^{(T)}\beta$ -interior of A is the union of all $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open sets contained in A and it is denoted by $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A)$. $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) = \cup\{U : U \text{ is an } I^{(T)}\beta\text{-open set and } U \subseteq A\}$.

(b) $I^{(T)}\beta$ -closure of A is the intersection of all $I^{(T)}\beta$ -closed sets containing A and it is denoted by $Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A)$. $Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) = \cap\{U : U \text{ is an } I^{(T)}\beta\text{-closed set and } A \subseteq U\}$.

3. On $T_i^{I^{(T)}\beta} i = 0, 1, 2$ -Spaces

Definition 3.1: An intuitionistic topological space (X, T) is said to be

$T_0^{I^{(T)}\beta}$ if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X , there exists a $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set U such that either $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$ or $x \notin U$ and $y \in U$.

$T_1^{I^{(T)}\beta}$ if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X , there exist two $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ but $y \notin U$ and $y \in V$ but $x \notin V$.

$T_2^{I^{(T)}\beta}$ if for each distinct points x, y in X , there exist two disjoint $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open sets U and V containing x and y respectively.

Definition 3.2: (a) Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and let $x \in X$. A subset N of X is said to be $I^{(T)}\beta$ -nbhd of x if there exists an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set G such that $x \in G \subset N$.

The collection of all $I^{(T)}\beta$ -nbhd of $x \in X$ is called an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -nbhd system at x and shall be denoted by $n_{I^{(T)}\beta}^\#(x)$.

(b) Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and A be a subset of X , A subset N of X is said to be $I^{(T)}\beta$ -nbhd of A if there exists an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set G such that $A \in G \subset N$.

(c) Let A be a subset of X . A point $x \in A$ is said to be an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -interior point of A , if A is an $n_{I^{(T)}\beta}^\#(x)$. The set of all $I^{(T)}\beta$ -interior points of A is called an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -interior of A and is denoted by $I_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A)$.

Proposition 3.3: An intuitionistic topological space (X, T) is $T_0^{I^{(T)}\beta}$ if and only if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , $Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{x\}) \neq Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$.

Proof: Necessity. Let (X, T) be a $T_0^{I^{(T)}\beta}$ -space and x, y be any two distinct points of X . There exists a $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set U containing x or y , say x but not y . Then X/U is a $I^{(T)}\beta$ -closed set which does not contain x but contains y . Since $Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$ is the smallest $I^{(T)}\beta$ -closed set containing y , $Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\}) \subseteq X/U$ and therefore $x \in Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$. Consequently $Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{x\}) \neq Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ and $Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{x\}) \neq Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$. Let z be a point of X such that $z \in Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{x\})$ but $z \notin Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$. We claim that $x \notin Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$. For, if $x \in Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$ then $Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{x\}) \subseteq Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$. This contradicts the fact that $z \notin Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$. Consequently x belongs to the $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set $X/Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\{y\})$ to which y does not belong.

Proposition 3.4: An intuitionistic topological space (X, T) is $T_1^{I^{(T)}\beta}$ if and only if the singletons are $I^{(T)}\beta$ -closed sets.

Proof: Let (X, T) be $T_1^{I^{(T)}\beta}$ and x any point of X . Suppose $y \in X / \{x\}$ then $x \neq y$ and so there exists a $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set U such that $y \in U$ but $x \notin U$. Consequently $y \in U \subseteq X/\{x\}$, that is $X/\{x\} = \cup \{U: y \in X/\{x\}\}$ which is $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open.

Conversely, suppose $\{p\}$ is $I^{(T)}\beta$ -closed for every $p \in X$. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Now $x \neq y$ implies $y \in X / \{x\}$. Hence $X/\{x\}$ is a $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set contains y but not x . Similarly $X/\{y\}$ is a $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set contains x but not y . Accordingly X is a $T_1^{I^{(T)}\beta}$ -space.

Theorem 3.5: Let A and B be subsets of X . Then

- (a) $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(X) = X$ and $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\phi) = \phi$,
- (b) $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) \subset A$,
- (c) If B is any $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set contained in A , then $B \subset Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A)$,
- (d) If $A \subset B$, then $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) \subset Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(B)$.

Proof:

(a) Since X and ϕ are $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open sets, $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) = \cup\{G: G \text{ is } I^{(T)}\beta\text{-open}, G \subset X\} = X \cup \{\text{all } I^{(T)}\beta\text{-open sets}\} = X$.

That is $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(X) = X$. Since ϕ is the only $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set contained in ϕ , $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(\phi) = \phi$.

(b) Let $x \in Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) \Rightarrow x$ is an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -interior point of A .

$\Rightarrow A$ is an $n_{I^{(T)}\beta}^\#(x)$.

$\Rightarrow x \in A$.

Thus $x \in Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) \Rightarrow x \in A$. Hence $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) \subset A$.

(c) Let B be any $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set such that $B \subset A$. Let $x \in B$, since B is an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set contained in A , then x is an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -interior point of A . That is $x \in Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A)$. Hence $B \subset Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A)$.

(d) Let A and B be subsets of X such that $A \subset B$. Let $x \in Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A)$. Then x is an $I^{(T)}\beta$ -interior point of A and so A is an $n_{I^{(T)}\beta}^\#(x)$. Since $B \supset A$, B is also an $n_{I^{(T)}\beta}^\#(x)$. This implies that $x \in Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(B)$. Thus we have shown that $x \in Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) \Rightarrow x \in Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(B)$. Hence $Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(A) \subset Int_{I^{(T)}\beta}(B)$.

Proposition 3.6: The following statements are equivalent for an intuitionistic topological space (X, T) :

- (a) X is $T_2^{I^{(T)}\beta}$.
- (b) Let $x \in X$. For each $y \neq x$, there exists a $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set U containing x such that $y \notin Cl_{I^{(T)}\beta}(U)$.

(c) For each $x \in X$, $\cap \{Cl_{I^{(T)}}\beta(U) : U \in I^{(T)}\beta O(X) \text{ and } x \in U\} = \{x\}$.

Proof:

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Since X is $T_2^{I^{(T)}}\beta$, there exist disjoint $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open sets U and V containing x and y respectively. So, $U \subseteq X/V$. Therefore, $Cl_{I^{(T)}}\beta(U) \subseteq X/V$. So $y \notin Cl_{I^{(T)}}\beta(U)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) If possible for some $y \neq x$, we have $y \in Cl_{I^{(T)}}\beta(U)$ for every $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set U containing x , which then contradicts (b).

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. Then there exists a $I^{(T)}\beta$ -open set U containing x such that $y \notin Cl_{I^{(T)}}\beta(U)$. Let $V = X/Cl_{I^{(T)}}\beta(U)$, then $y \in V$ and $x \in U$ and also $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.7: If A and B are subsets of X , then $Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(A) \cup Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(B) \subset Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(A \cup B)$

Proof: We know that $A \subset A \cup B$ and $B \subset A \cup B$, $Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(A) \subset Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(A \cup B)$ and $Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(B) \subset Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(A \cup B)$. This implies that $Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(A) \cup Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(B) \subset Int_{I^{(T)}}\beta(A \cup B)$.

References:

1. T.A.Albinaa, "Some Properties of Intuitionistic α -open Set", Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal : Volume 5 Spl Issue (2016): 55-59.
2. K. T. Atanassov and S. P. Stoeva, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Proceedings of the Polish Symposium on Interval Fuzzy Mathematics, Poznan, Wydawn. Politech. Poznan(1983): 23-26.
3. K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986): 87-96.
4. K. T. Atanassov, More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 33 (1989): 37-45.
5. P. Basker and V. Kokilavani, On $I^{(T)}\alpha$ -open sets in intuitionistic topological spaces, Maejo International Journal of Science and Technology, 10(02) (2016): 187-196.
6. P. Basker, On $(I^{(T)}\alpha, D)$ -sets in intuitionistic topological spaces, Proceedings of the International conference on Analysis and Applied Mathematics (2018): 199-206.
7. P. Basker, On $T_k^{I^{(T)}}\alpha$ -Spaces in intuitionistic topological spaces, (Submitted).
8. S. Bayhan and D. Coker, On separation axioms in intuitionistic topological spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (2001): 621-630.
9. S. Bayhan and D. Coker, Pairwise separation axioms in intuitionistic topological spaces, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 34S (2005): 101-114.
10. S.S.Benchalli, P.G.Patil, Abeda S. Dodamani, "Soft β -Compactness in Soft Topological Spaces", 214-218.
11. D.Coker, A note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points, TU. J. Math. 20-3 (1996): 343-351.
12. D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 88 (1997): 81-89.
13. D.Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, Bulletin for Studies and Exchanges on Fuzziness and its Applications, 81 (2000): 51-56.
14. E.Coskun and D.Coker, On neighborhood structures in intuitionistic topological spaces, Mathematica Balkanica, (3-4)12 (1998): 289-293.
15. Seok Jong Lee and Jae Myoung Chu, Categorical Property of Intuitionistic Topological Spaces, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 24 (2009): 595-603.
16. Vaiyomathi.K, Dr.F.Nirmala Irudayam, "A New Form of b -open Sets in Infra Topological Space", Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal : Volume 5 Issue 2 (2016): 191-194.
17. Young Bae Jun and Seok Zun Song, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Semi-Preopen sets and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Semi-Precontinuous Mappings, J. Appl. Math. and Computing, 19 (2005): 1-2.
